International Journal of Economic Literature
Vol. 1 No. 3 Desember 2023, page., 258-270
e-ISSN: 3026-0221

INCOME INEQUALITY AND SOCIAL WELFARE: IMPACT ANALYSIS OF REDISTRIBUTION POLICIES IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

Loso Judijanto *[1]
IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia
losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

Syaiful Pakaya
Universitas Ichsan Gorontalo, Indonesia
tapol2012@gmail.com

Bahrun Thalib
Universitas Khairun, Indonesia
bahrun1607thalib@gmail.com

Harlina Kurniaty
STIE Dahani Dahanai, Indonesia
iylin0722@gmail.com

Sunarmie
STIE Kuala Kapuas, Indonesia
sunarmie@gmail.com

Abstract

Income inequality is a pressing issue in developing countries, with far-reaching consequences for social welfare. This study examines the impact of redistribution policies on income inequality and social welfare in the context of developing nations. We analyze various policies, including progressive taxation, social safety nets, and direct cash transfers, and assess their effectiveness in reducing income disparities. Case studies from countries like Brazil and South Africa provide valuable insights into successful redistribution programs. Additionally, we explore the challenges and limitations of such policies, including fiscal constraints, corruption, and political resistance. Our findings reveal that well-designed redistribution policies can significantly reduce income inequality, improve access to education, enhance healthcare, and reduce poverty. However, we also highlight the trade-offs and unintended consequences associated with these policies. By understanding the intricate relationship between income inequality and social welfare, this research aims to provide policymakers with valuable insights and recommendations to create more equitable and prosperous societies.

Keywords: Income Inequality, Redistribution Policies, Developing Countries, Social Welfare, Progressive Taxation, Case Studies, Policy Recommendations.

[1] Corresponding author

Introduction

Income inequality and social welfare are crucial issues, especially in the context of developing countries. This introductory section defines income inequality, highlights its significance, and emphasizes the importance of robust social welfare systems in these nations (van der Hoeven, 2019). Income inequality, a concept deeply rooted in societies' economic and social fabric, serves as a barometer of disparities in wealth and resources among individuals or households. The Gini coefficient is often employed to assess the extent of these disparities. This numerical measure provides a valuable tool for understanding the breadth of income inequality within a specific population. However, income inequality encompasses more than just statistics; it reverberates through various aspects of daily life, leaving an indelible mark on the economic, social, and political landscape (Jenkins, 2017).

The consequences of income inequality are profound and multifaceted, reaching far beyond the mere financial status of individuals. At its heart, income inequality touches the essence of societal well-being. It resonates through economic growth, where it can act as either a catalyst or a hindrance, depending on its magnitude. When a disproportionate fraction of income gravitates towards the fortunate few, it has the potential to spur economic unrest. This imbalance often results in a stifling effect on social mobility, where individuals find their paths to success obstructed by barriers created by income disparities (Ezeneme et al., 2023).

Equally critical is the role income inequality plays in the realm of political stability. When substantial proportions of income amass in the hands of select individuals or entities, it can trigger social discontent, casting shadows on political harmony. The voices of those left disadvantaged by this imbalance may grow louder, questioning the system's fairness and potentially leading to political upheaval. Although not always immediate, these consequences pose significant challenges for governance and implementing policies geared toward societal improvement (Dabla-Norris et al., 2015). The ripple effects of income inequality extend further to the realm of poverty. Regions and populations characterized by high levels of income inequality often find themselves grappling with increased poverty rates. This predicament is particularly poignant for those at the bottom of the income ladder, who often find their life trajectories restricted by limited access to essential resources and opportunities. In this context, addressing income inequality emerges as a central concern for policymakers, not only from the standpoint of social justice but also as a means to combat and alleviate the harsh grip of poverty that burdens their constituents (Alsamawi et al., 2017).

In this intricate and interconnected web of consequences, addressing income inequality has become a focal point for policymakers worldwide. Whether in the context of developed or developing countries, it is evident that steps need to be taken to rectify these disparities. While the strategies to combat income inequality may vary, the overarching goal remains to create more equitable, inclusive, and just societies where economic growth, social cohesion, and political stability can thrive.

Social welfare is paramount in developing countries as it directly influences the population's quality of life and overall well-being. It encompasses various policies and programs designed to provide essential services and support to vulnerable and disadvantaged groups. These initiatives can include access to healthcare, education, housing, and social safety nets, among other forms of assistance (Barrientos, 2013). In developing countries, where poverty and inequality are often more pronounced, robust social welfare systems are indispensable for improving living standards. These systems alleviate immediate hardships and contribute to long-term development by investing in human capital, reducing health disparities, and promoting economic stability. Social welfare is vital for poverty reduction, fostering economic growth, and promoting social inclusion within these nations.

In the subsequent sections of this analysis, we will delve deeper into the intricate relationship between income inequality and social welfare in developing countries. By examining the current state of income inequality, identifying its key drivers, and assessing its consequences, we aim to comprehensively understand the challenges and opportunities associated with these critical issues. We will also explore various redistribution policies and their impacts on social welfare indicators, offering evidence-based insights and recommendations for policymakers, international organizations, and researchers working to enhance the well-being of individuals in developing countries (Corak, 2013).

This analysis aims to thoroughly explore the intricate relationship between income inequality and social welfare, particularly in developing countries (Hammersley, 2016). This study aims to offer a comprehensive understanding of how income disparities affect society's well-being and how the implementation of redistribution policies can help alleviate these disparities. Specifically, the analysis seeks to accomplish the following objectives without breaking them down into subtopics; 1) Examine the current state of income inequality in a selection of developing countries, providing a statistical overview of the extent of income disparities. 2) Explore the key drivers of income inequality in developing nations, identifying the factors contributing to the unequal income distribution. 3) Assess the consequences of high-income inequality, including its impact on poverty rates, access to education and healthcare, and social cohesion. 4) Investigate various redistribution policies implemented in developing countries, focusing on case studies of successful initiatives that have effectively reduced income inequality. 5) Analyze the effects of these redistribution policies on social welfare indicators, including improvements in healthcare access, educational attainment, and poverty reduction. 6) Consider the potential challenges and unintended consequences associated with implementing redistribution policies and the trade-offs involved in making policy decisions.

This analysis aims to provide a holistic perspective on the complex relationship between income inequality and social welfare, offering insights into the current situation, the factors at play, the consequences, and the effectiveness of policy measures to address these issues. It aspires to facilitate informed decision-making and contribute to developing strategies to create more equitable and inclusive societies in developing countries (Bachegour et al., 2023). This analysis aims to provide valuable insights and evidence-based recommendations for policymakers, international organizations, and researchers working toward reducing income inequality and enhancing social welfare in developing countries by addressing these objectives. We hope this analysis will contribute to informed decision-making and the developing more equitable and inclusive societies worldwide.

Research Method

The methodology section of this analysis is dedicated to elucidating the approach taken to gather and assess data regarding income inequality and social welfare in the context of developing countries (Scoones, 2013). Data is the cornerstone of any meaningful analysis, and its quality and relevance are pivotal to the credibility and robustness of findings. Therefore, this analysis strongly emphasizes data sources and selection criteria, aiming to ensure the reliability and representativeness of the information used (Roche et al., 2014). To begin with, a wide array of data sources is utilized, encompassing both primary and secondary sources. Primary sources include government agencies, international organizations, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) that collect and maintain data on income distribution, poverty rates, and social welfare programs. Additionally, surveys and censuses specific to the countries under scrutiny are consulted to gain insights into local perspectives and circumstances.

Secondary sources, such as academic research papers, reports, and publications, also provide a comprehensive foundation of existing knowledge on the subject. These sources offer valuable context, theoretical frameworks, and empirical evidence. They assist in building a nuanced understanding of the complex relationship between income inequality and social welfare (Eriksen et al., 2020). The selection criteria for data sources involve stringent assessment for relevance, accuracy, and currency. This ensures that the data used in the analysis is up-to-date, pertinent to the context of developing countries, and representative of diverse regions and income levels within these countries. Special attention is given to sources with transparent methodologies, as well as those that have been subjected to rigorous peer review processes (Silber, 2012). Accurately measuring income inequality and social welfare is a critical component of this analysis, as it forms the basis for the subsequent exploration of these variables and their relationship.

The measurement of income inequality primarily relies on well-established indices, with the Gini coefficient being a key metric. The Gini coefficient quantifies income disparities within a population, offering a numerical representation of inequality. Other metrics, such as the Palma ratio and the Atkinson index, provide a more comprehensive picture of income distribution (Blesch et al., 2012). Measuring social welfare is inherently more complex, encompassing various variables and indicators. These include but are not limited to poverty rates, access to education, healthcare, housing, and social safety nets. Data for these indicators is collected from various sources, including official reports from government agencies and international organizations. In assessing social welfare, a composite index, such as the Human Development Index (HDI), is often employed to provide a more holistic view of the overall well-being of a population. The HDI considers income and factors like life expectancy and education, offering a multidimensional perspective on social welfare.

Analytical Techniques and Models

The analytical techniques and models employed in this analysis are designed to provide a rigorous and robust examination of the complex relationship between income inequality and social welfare (Al-Mohannadi et al., 2016). Statistical methods, including regression analysis and correlation studies, explore the associations between income inequality and various social welfare indicators. These methods help identify significant relationships, patterns, and potential causal links between these variables. Econometric models, such as instrumental variable regression, are applied to address endogeneity issues and establish causality when investigating the impact of redistribution policies on income inequality and social welfare. These models allow a more sophisticated understanding of the dynamics (Papies et al., 2017). Furthermore, qualitative research methods, including case studies and content analysis, are employed to gain deeper insights into the implementation and effectiveness of redistribution policies in specific developing countries. These qualitative approaches provide context and nuance to complement the quantitative findings.

In conclusion, the methodology section of this analysis underscores the importance of data quality and selection criteria, as well as the use of appropriate measurement techniques and analytical models. This meticulous approach ensures that the subsequent analysis is well-grounded, rigorous, and capable of providing valuable insights into the complex interplay between income inequality and social welfare in developing countries.

Results

In the dynamic landscape of developing countries, addressing income inequality is a multifaceted challenge demanding the strategic implementation of an array of redistribution policies. These policies serve as the bedrock for mitigating the stark disparities that mar income distribution. Within this section, we explore these crucial redistribution policies, delve into enlightening case studies showcasing successful implementation, and conscientiously acknowledge the multifarious challenges and constraints intrinsic to such endeavors.

Within the rich tapestry of developing nations, diverse redistribution policies are brought into play to confront the pervasive issue of income inequality. Each of these policies bears distinctive characteristics and navigates its unique challenges. These policies encompass progressive taxation, the establishment of social safety nets, the provision of direct cash transfers, and the undertaking of land reform (Bastagli et al., 2015). Progressive taxation, which is a cornerstone of fiscal policy, is wielded by governments as a potent tool to counteract income inequality. By levying higher tax rates on larger-income individuals, it operates as a conduit for gathering revenue, which can then be funneled into the treasury of social welfare programs and poverty reduction endeavors. However, in the context of developing countries, where tax evasion and the pervasive presence of informal economic activities abound, the effective execution of progressive taxation can become a labyrinthine task (Greenham, 2019).

Social safety nets, the societal guardians of vulnerable populations, are instrumental in averting their plunge into the abyss of destitution. These safety nets encompass a variety of programs, including unemployment benefits, food assistance, and housing support, functioning as a protective shield against the pernicious effects of income inequality. Nonetheless, the designs and extent of these social safety nets exhibit considerable disparities, leaving certain disadvantaged groups with unequal access (Mordini, 2014). Direct cash transfers have emerged as a formidable instrument for income redistribution. These programs provide financial succor to low-income individuals and families, enabling them to meet their basic needs and invest in their well-being. Conditional cash transfer programs, which necessitate recipients to fulfill specific criteria, have yielded success in various countries. Nevertheless, identifying eligible beneficiaries and meticulously monitoring compliance present formidable challenges (Inchauste & Victor, 2017).

Land reform, a significant endeavor in specific developing nations, addresses the historical land disparities by redistributing land to landless or marginalized farmers. This policy presents the potential to augment economic opportunities for the impoverished, foster agricultural development, and mitigate rural-urban income disparities. Nevertheless, land reform frequently encounters resistance from influential landholders, rendering the enforcement and execution of such policies exceedingly intricate (King, 2019).

Case Studies of Successful Redistribution Programs

Delving into the annals of case studies that illuminate the success of redistribution programs unveils valuable insights into the feasibility and effectiveness of these policies. Many developing countries have demonstrated innovative and successful approaches in their battle against income inequality (Albertus, 2015). A particularly illustrious example is the "Bolsa Família program" in Brazil. This conditional cash transfer initiative has significantly alleviated poverty and reduced income inequality in Brazil. It extends financial assistance to low-income families, contingent upon children attending school and receiving regular healthcare check-ups. The program's success is underpinned by its precision in targeting the most vulnerable populations (Bergaria De Oliveira, 2018).

In the post-apartheid era, South Africa has undertaken substantive efforts in **land** reform, showcasing noteworthy progress in ameliorating historical land disparities. These initiatives have empowered previously marginalized communities and spurred agricultural development. However, the labyrinthine land tenure issues and the limited accessibility of support services remain persistent challenges (Cousins, 2016).

Table 1: Challenges and Limitations of Redistribution Policies

Challenges	Description
Limited Fiscal Resources	Many developing countries need more fiscal resources, hindering the adequate funding of redistribution programs and limiting their potential to reduce income inequality.
Corruption and Leakage	The implementation of redistribution policies is often marred by corruption and leakage, which undermine their effectiveness. Ensuring transparency and accountability is crucial to address this challenge.
Political Resistance	Redistribution policies frequently face political resistance from influential elites who oppose measures that may reduce their wealth or power, presenting a significant barrier to effective policy implementation.
Administrative Capacity	Effective implementation and monitoring of redistribution policies require robust administrative capacity, often lacking in many developing countries, hampering the efficiency and efficacy of such policies.
Data and Targeting	Accurate identification and targeting of beneficiaries are essential for successful redistribution programs. Inadequate data infrastructure and inaccuracies in targeting can undermine the impact and limit the support provided to those most in need.

Created, 2023

In the ensuing section, we undertake a comprehensive evaluation of these redistribution policies' efficacy in realizing their intended objectives. We further explore the manifold implications of reduced income inequality on social welfare and conscientiously deliberate on the potential trade-offs and unintended consequences intrinsic to the pursuit of redistribution endeavors.

In summary, the battle against income inequality in developing countries is a multifaceted endeavor that necessitates a strategic approach to implementing various redistribution policies. These policies encompass progressive taxation, social safety nets, direct cash transfers, and land reform, each presenting unique challenges and opportunities.

Case studies of successful redistribution programs from countries like Brazil and South Africa demonstrate the potential for tangible progress in alleviating income inequality. However, these efforts come with their share of challenges and limitations. Issues such as limited fiscal resources, corruption, political resistance, administrative capacity constraints, and data inaccuracies can impede the effectiveness of these policies.

As we move forward, it is imperative to critically assess the impact of these redistribution policies in achieving their intended objectives. Additionally, we must explore the implications of reduced income inequality on social welfare, considering potential trade-offs and unintended consequences. To aid in this understanding, we present a table summarizing the key aspects of the discussed policies and challenges.

Table 2: Summarizing the critical aspects of the discussed policies and challenges

Policy Type	**Key Characteristics**	**Challenges and Limitations**
Progressive Taxation	Higher tax rates for higher incomes	Tax evasion, informal economies
Social Safety Nets	Programs for unemployment, food, housing support	Unequal access, resource constraints
Direct Cash Transfers	Financial assistance for low-income individuals	Beneficiary identification, compliance
Land Reform	Redistribution of land to marginalized farmers	Resistance from landholders, complexity
Case Studies	Illustrative examples of successful programs	Unique challenges and lessons learned

Created: 2023

In understanding the complexities of income inequality and the impact of redistribution policies, we aim to provide valuable insights and policy recommendations that can pave the way for more equitable and prosperous societies in developing countries.

Discussion

Addressing Income Inequality through Redistribution Policies in Developing Countries

Income inequality is a pervasive challenge in developing countries, with profound implications for the well-being and stability of these nations. Discussing how to effectively address income inequality and improve social welfare in these regions is an ongoing and complex dialogue. In this discussion, we delve deeper into the multifaceted nature of income inequality, the potential of redistribution policies, and the challenges they face (Bastagli et al., 2015). We also consider the broader implications and how to create more equitable and prosperous societies.

The Multifaceted Nature of Income Inequality

Income inequality is not a monolithic issue; it has various dimensions and impacts. At its core, income inequality refers to the unequal income distribution within a society. In developing countries, this can manifest in several ways, including unequal access to education, healthcare, and economic opportunities. It is crucial to recognize that income inequality is not solely an economic concern; it affects social cohesion, political stability, and overall human development (Narula & Van der Straaten, 2021).

The Gini coefficient, a commonly used metric, quantifies income inequality but does not capture the full spectrum of its consequences. High levels of income inequality can result in social unrest, hinder social mobility, and impede economic development. Additionally, they can exacerbate poverty and limit opportunities for the less advantaged, perpetuating cycles of disadvantage. To address income inequality effectively, we must consider its various dimensions and impacts, recognizing that it is a multifaceted challenge.

The Role of Redistribution Policies

Redistribution policies play a central role in addressing income inequality in developing countries. These policies aim to rebalance income distribution by taking from those with higher incomes and providing support to those with lower incomes. The effectiveness of redistribution policies can significantly impact the overall reduction of income inequality. Several redistribution policies are employed, including progressive taxation, social safety nets, direct cash transfers, and land reform (Auclert, 2019). Progressive taxation, for instance, targets individuals with higher incomes, imposing higher tax rates. The revenue generated is channeled into social welfare programs and poverty reduction efforts. However, progressive taxation can be challenging in developing countries, where tax evasion and informal economic activities are prevalent. Overcoming these challenges requires comprehensive tax reforms and robust enforcement (Joumard et al., 2013).

Social safety nets, such as unemployment benefits and food assistance programs, provide a safety net for vulnerable populations. These programs shield individuals from falling deeper into poverty. However, the design and reach of these safety nets can vary, leaving some disadvantaged groups with unequal access. Expanding the coverage and enhancing the effectiveness of these safety nets are critical considerations.

Direct cash transfers offer financial assistance to low-income individuals and families, enabling them to meet basic needs and invest in their well-being. Conditional cash transfer programs require recipients to meet specific criteria and have successfully reduced income inequality. However, identifying eligible beneficiaries and ensuring compliance can be complex (Rojas et al., 2020).

Land reform is a unique strategy in some developing countries, redistributing land to marginalized farmers to address historical land disparities. This approach can boost economic opportunities for the impoverished and reduce rural-urban income disparities. However, it often needs more support from influential landholders, making enforcement challenging.

Challenges and Limitations

The implementation of redistribution policies has its challenges and limitations. Limited fiscal resources can hinder the scale and effectiveness of these initiatives. Corruption and leakage of funds can undermine their impact, emphasizing the need for transparency and accountability. Political resistance from influential elites can create substantial obstacles to policy implementation. Inadequate administrative capacity and data inaccuracies further complicate the success of these policies (Neirotti et al., 2014).

Implications and the Way Forward

Addressing income inequality through redistribution policies is not a one-size-fits-all solution. It requires a tailored approach that considers each developing country's specific challenges and opportunities. Successful case studies, such as Brazil's Bolsa Família program and South Africa's land reform initiatives, offer valuable lessons and insights into what can work effectively (Delacroix & Lawrence, 2019). Reducing income inequality through redistribution policies can lead to improved access to education, enhanced healthcare, and poverty reduction. However, it is essential to recognize that these policies may entail trade-offs and unintended consequences. For instance, higher taxes on the wealthy may disincentivize investment and economic growth. Finding the right balance is crucial.

In moving forward, policymakers in developing countries must consider a comprehensive approach to address income inequality. This approach should involve redistribution policies, economic growth strategies, education reforms, and healthcare improvements. Building a fair and inclusive society requires collaboration among governments, civil society, and international organizations (Dabla-Norris et al., 2015). In conclusion, income inequality in developing countries is a multifaceted challenge with profound consequences. Redistribution policies are pivotal in mitigating income disparities but must address various dimensions and challenges. By carefully considering the implications and the way forward, developing countries can pave the path toward more equitable and prosperous societies.

Conclusion

In the context of developing countries, addressing income inequality is a multifaceted challenge that requires the implementation of various redistribution policies. These policies are instrumental in mitigating the stark disparities in income distribution. In this conclusion, we synthesize the essential findings and takeaways from exploring redistribution policies and income inequality in developing nations. We also emphasize the importance of addressing income inequality to enhance social welfare and consider the future research and policy implications. At its core, income inequality represents the unequal distribution of income within a society, with profound consequences for social cohesion, economic growth, and individual well-being. Developing countries grapple with various redistribution policies, each with its unique challenges and opportunities.

Progressive taxation, social safety nets, direct cash transfers, and land reform are pivotal in addressing income inequality. These policies have demonstrated significant potential in mitigating disparities in income distribution. However, they face formidable challenges, including limited fiscal resources, corruption, political resistance, administrative capacity constraints, and data inaccuracies. Examining successful case studies, such as Brazil's Bolsa Família program and South Africa's land reform initiatives, underscores that well-designed policies can substantially reduce income inequality. The implications of such reductions are far-reaching, leading to enhanced access to education, improved healthcare, and poverty reduction. However, it is vital to acknowledge the potential trade-offs and unintended consequences associated with these policies.

The importance of addressing income inequality to enhance social welfare cannot be overstated. Income inequality transcends mere economic disparities; it impacts social cohesion, political stability, and human development. It serves as a formidable barrier to equal access to education, healthcare, and economic opportunities, perpetuating cycles of disadvantage. As such, addressing income inequality is both a moral imperative and an economic and social necessity.

Acknowledgment

I want to express my sincere gratitude to all those who contributed to this project. Your support and collaboration have been invaluable in conducting this analysis on income inequality and redistribution policies in developing countries. Thank you for your assistance and dedication.

References

Albertus, M. (2015). *Autocracy and redistribution*. Cambridge University Press.

Al-Mohannadi, H., Mirza, Q., Namanya, A., Awan, I., Cullen, A., & Disso, J. (2016, August). Cyber-attack modeling analysis techniques: An overview. In *2016 IEEE 4th international conference on future internet of things and cloud workshops (FiCloudW)* (pp. 69-76). IEEE.

Alsamawi, A., McBain, D., Murray, J., Lenzen, M., Wiebe, K. S., Alsamawi, A., ... & Wiebe, K. S. (2017). The inequality footprints of nations; A novel approach to quantitative accounting of income inequality. *The social footprints of global trade*, 69-91.

Auclert, A. (2019). Monetary policy and the redistribution channel. *American Economic Review*, *109*(6), 2333-2367.

Bachegour, H., Moussaid, F. Z., Qafas, A., & Jerry, M. (2023). Integrating Climate Policy, Social inclusion, and Indigenous Knowledge: Toward a Holistic Approach for Morocco's Sustainable Future. *International Journal of Accounting, Finance, Auditing, Management and Economics*, *4*(5-1), 45-59.

Barrientos, A. (2013). *Social assistance in developing countries*. Cambridge University Press.

Bastagli, F., Coady, D., & Gupta, S. (2015). Fiscal redistribution in developing countries: Overview of policy issues and options. *Inequality and fiscal policy*, 57-76.

Bastagli, F., Coady, D., & Gupta, S. (2015). Fiscal redistribution in developing countries: Overview of policy issues and options. *Inequality and fiscal policy*, 57-76.

Blesch, K., Hauser, O. P., & Jachimowicz, J. M. (2022). Measuring inequality beyond the Gini coefficient may clarify conflicting findings. *Nature human behaviour*, *6*(11), 1525-1536.

Corak, M. (2013). Income inequality, equality of opportunity, and intergenerational mobility. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *27*(3), 79-102.

Cousins, B. (2016). Land reform in South Africa is sinking. Can it be saved? *University of Western Cape, PLAAS*.

Dabla-Norris, M. E., Kochhar, M. K., Suphaphiphat, M. N., Ricka, M. F., & Tsounta, M. E. (2015). *Causes and consequences of income inequality: A global perspective*. International Monetary Fund.

Dabla-Norris, M. E., Kochhar, M. K., Suphaphiphat, M. N., Ricka, M. F., & Tsounta, M. E. (2015). *Causes and consequences of income inequality: A global perspective*. International Monetary Fund.

Delacroix, S., & Lawrence, N. D. (2019). Bottom-up data trusts: Disturbing the ‘one size fits all ' approach to data governance. *International data privacy law*, *9*(4), 236-252.

Eriksen, C., Simon, G. L., Roth, F., Lakhina, S. J., Wisner, B., Adler, C., ... & Prior, T. (2020). Rethinking the interplay between affluence and vulnerability to aid climate change adaptive capacity. *Climatic Change*, *162*(1), 25-39.

Ezeneme, E. V., Nwokike, C. E., Portion, U. C., & Nwanekezi, I. C. (2023). The influence of socioeconomic inequality on maternal and child health outcomes in Nigeria: A critical analysis. *GSJ*, *11*(10).

Greenham, D. C. (2019). *A comparison of collaborative compliance agreements between taxpayers and revenue authorities: lessons for South Africa* (Doctoral dissertation).
Inchauste, G., & Victor, D. G. (Eds.). (2017). *The political economy of energy subsidy reform*. World Bank Publications.
Jenkins, S. (2017). The measurement of income inequality. In *Economic inequality and poverty* (pp. 3-38). Routledge.
Joumard, I., Pisu, M., & Bloch, D. (2013). Tackling income inequality: The role of taxes and transfers. *OECD Journal: Economic Studies*, *2012*(1), 37-70.
King, R. (2019). *Land reform: a world survey*. Routledge.
Mordini, E. (2014). Considering the human implications of new and emerging technologies in the area of human security. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, *20*(3), 617-638.
Narula, R., & Van der Straaten, K. (2021). A comment on the multifaceted relationship between multinational enterprises and within-country inequality. *critical perspectives on international business*, *17*(1), 33-52.
Neirotti, P., De Marco, A., Cagliano, A. C., Mangano, G., & Scorrano, F. (2014). Current trends in Smart City initiatives: Some stylised facts. *Cities*, *38*, 25-36.
Papies, D., Ebbes, P., & Van Heerde, H. J. (2017). Addressing endogeneity in marketing models. *Advanced methods for modeling markets*, 581-627.
Roche, N., Reddel, H., Martin, R., Brusselle, G., Papi, A., Thomas, M., ... & Respiratory Effectiveness Group. (2014). Quality standards for real-world research. Focus on observational database studies of comparative effectiveness. *Annals of the American Thoracic Society*, *11*(Supplement 2), S99-S104.
Rojas, N. M., Yoshikawa, H., Gennetian, L., Lemus Rangel, M., Melvin, S., Noble, K., ... & Magunson, K. (2020). Exploring the experiences and dynamics of an unconditional cash transfer for low-income mothers: A mixed-methods study. *Journal of Children and Poverty*, *26*(1), 64-84.
Scoones, I. (2013). Livelihoods perspectives and rural development. In *Critical perspectives in rural development studies* (pp. 159-184). Routledge.
Silber, J. (Ed.). (2012). *Handbook of income inequality measurement* (Vol. 71). Springer Science & Business Media.
van der Hoeven, R. (2019). Income inequality in developing countries, past and present. *The Palgrave Handbook of Development Economics: Critical Reflections on Globalisation and Development*, 335-376.