International Journal of Economic Literature (INJOLE)
Vol. 2 No. 1 January 2024, page., 254-267
e-ISSN: 3026-0221

DYNAMIC ANALYSIS OF CAPITAL MARKETS: A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY ON GLOBAL ECONOMIC SHIFTS AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR INVESTMENT STRATEGIES AND PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE

Andi Sumarlin K *[1]
Universitas Patompo, Indonesia
sumarlin.manajemen@gmail.com

Kartijo
Universitas Sali Al-Aitaam, Indonesia
kartijomudah@gmail.com

Eliagus Telaumbanua
Universitas Nias, Indonesia
eliagus.tel@gmail.com

Yupiter Mendrofa
Universitas Nias, Indonesia
yupiter.mend81@gmail.com

Mohamad Khairi Bin Haji Othman
Universiti Utara Malaysia

Abstract

This study explores the intricate relationship between global economic shifts and their implications for investment strategies and portfolio performance in a rapidly transforming global economic landscape. Beginning with a historical perspective, the research traces the evolution from traditional to modern portfolio theories, recognizing the pioneering contributions of Harry Markowitz and subsequent theorists like William Sharpe. Emphasis is placed on the adaptability of modern portfolio theories to address challenges presented by dynamic economic environments. Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT) is scrutinized as a pivotal framework that surpasses static diversification strategies. MPT incorporates the risk and return of individual assets and their correlations, facilitating a nuanced and dynamic portfolio construction approach. Enriching this framework are insights from behavioral finance and dynamic asset allocation, providing practical tools for investors to navigate the complexities of rapidly changing economic landscapes. The study delves into risk management strategies tailored for dynamic environments, focusing on the pivotal role of hedging techniques such as options and futures contracts. Dynamic hedging, with its capacity to adjust positions in response to changing market conditions, is highlighted as essential for navigating uncertainties inherent in economic shifts. Diversification strategies emerge as a cornerstone of effective risk management, extending beyond

[1] Correspondence author

traditional asset classes. The study underscores the importance of modern diversification strategies, encompassing alternative investments like tangible assets, private equity, and hedge funds. This adaptive diversification approach aims to create portfolios resilient to the impacts of specific economic events, fortifying them against localized economic shifts. This research provides valuable insights for informed investment decision-making in the dynamic global economic landscape.
Keywords: Dynamic Analysis, Capital Markets, Global Economic Shifts, Investment Strategies, Portfolio Performance, Modern Portfolio Theory, Risk Management, Diversification.

Introduction

Capital markets are pivotal components of global economies, playing a fundamental role in facilitating the exchange of financial instruments and resources among buyers and sellers (Kidwell et al., 2020). These markets form a dynamic ecosystem encompassing diverse financial instruments, including stocks, bonds, and derivatives. They provide a crucial platform for companies and governments to raise capital, enabling them to finance operations, fund projects, and support economic development. Understanding the intricate dynamics of capital markets is essential for diverse stakeholders, ranging from individual investors and financial analysts to policymakers. This understanding is vital as capital markets significantly influence economic conditions and foster overall growth (Bradford, 2020).

Global economic shifts hold paramount importance in the context of capital markets. These shifts, spurred by various factors such as technological advancements, geopolitical events, and demographic changes, wield profound implications on the investment landscape. The interconnectedness of economies worldwide magnifies the impact of these shifts, as changes in one region can send ripples throughout the entire global economic system. Consequently, a comprehensive grasp of the evolving nature of global economies is indispensable for effectively navigating the complexities inherent in capital markets (Şenol & Zeren, 2020).

Technological advancements, for instance, can disrupt traditional market structures and create new opportunities for investors, while geopolitical events may introduce heightened uncertainty and risk. Demographic shifts, such as population aging or youth-driven economic trends, can significantly influence market demand and investment preferences. Recognizing and adapting to these evolving dynamics is critical for making informed investment decisions, shaping effective policy responses, and conducting accurate financial analyses (Gomber et al., 2018). In essence, the interplay between capital markets and global economic shifts underscores the dynamic nature of the financial landscape. This understanding forms the foundation for the subsequent exploration in this literature review, where the focus will be on the need for dynamic analysis and identifying gaps in the existing literature. As global economic forces

continue to shape and reshape the financial world, a nuanced understanding becomes the key to navigating the intricate web of challenges and opportunities presented by capital markets.

The overarching purpose of this literature review is to delve into the intricacies of dynamic analysis within capital markets. By thoroughly examining existing literature, this review aims to fulfill two primary objectives (Mizrak, 2023). Firstly, it seeks to establish the imperative need for dynamic analysis in understanding and responding to the ever-changing nature of capital markets. As economic landscapes evolve, more than static analytical approaches may be needed to capture the nuances of market behavior. Dynamic analysis, on the other hand, embraces the fluidity of financial markets, allowing for a more responsive and adaptable approach to market dynamics. This literature review aims to showcase the significance of incorporating dynamic analysis methodologies to enhance our comprehension of capital market dynamics and improve decision-making processes for investors, policymakers, and financial analysts.

Secondly, this literature review aims to identify gaps in the existing body of knowledge. While considerable research has been conducted on capital markets and global economic shifts independently, there is a need for a more integrated and comprehensive perspective. This review will scrutinize the literature to pinpoint areas where current research needs to improve in providing a holistic understanding of the intricate relationship between capital markets and global economic shifts. By identifying these gaps, the review seeks to contribute to developing a holistic framework for dynamic analysis. This framework will address contemporary challenges and opportunities arising from the fluid nature of global economies, providing a more nuanced and comprehensive understanding for future research endeavors (Grilli et al., 2019).

This introduction sets the stage for a comprehensive exploration of the intricate relationship between capital markets and global economic shifts. It underscores the importance of dynamic analysis and positions the literature review as a crucial step toward bridging existing gaps in understanding and research. Through this dual-purpose approach, the review aims to advance knowledge in the field and offer practical insights for stakeholders navigating the complexities of capital markets in an ever-changing global economic landscape.

Research Method

The foundation of this study rests upon a meticulous literature search, encompassing an extensive review of scholarly articles, books, and relevant publications in capital markets, economic shifts, and dynamic analysis. The search parameters include PubMed, JSTOR, and academic publisher databases to explore existing knowledge comprehensively. The selection criteria prioritize recent publications, peer-reviewed articles, and seminal works that contribute substantially to

understanding dynamic analysis within the context of capital markets and global economic shifts. By adopting a systematic approach to literature selection, this study aims to build upon and synthesize the most current and authoritative insights available (Connaway et al., 2017).

The data collection process involves synthesizing information from selected literature to construct a coherent narrative on the intricacies of dynamic analysis in capital markets. Key themes, theoretical frameworks, and empirical findings from various sources will be systematically extracted and organized. Additionally, quantitative data, such as performance metrics and economic indicators, will be analyzed to substantiate the theoretical discussions. The research methodology adopts a qualitative approach to interpret and contextualize the findings, providing a holistic understanding of the subject matter. Case studies, where applicable, will be employed to illustrate real-world applications and implications of dynamic analysis methodologies (Al-Tabbaa & Ankrah, 2016).

Moreover, the analysis extends beyond a mere juxtaposition of disparate sources. Synthesizing the diverse literature allows identifying patterns, trends, and gaps in existing knowledge. This integrative approach ensures a comprehensive examination of dynamic analysis in capital markets, shedding light on both theoretical frameworks and practical applications. Robust analytical methods will ensure the validity and reliability of the study's findings, enhancing the credibility of the research outcomes (Hou et al., 2023).

While this study endeavors to comprehensively explore dynamic analysis in capital markets, certain limitations and assumptions are acknowledged. Firstly, the completeness of the literature review depends on the availability and accessibility of relevant scholarly works. Despite utilizing multiple databases, valuable contributions may be in less accessible sources that are inadvertently excluded. Secondly, the assumptions made in the selected literature, such as the validity of economic theories and the accuracy of reported data, may introduce inherent biases. Recognizing these limitations is crucial for interpreting the findings accurately (Voegtlin & Greenwood, 2016).

Moreover, the study assumes a general applicability of dynamic analysis methodologies across diverse economic contexts. The effectiveness of these methodologies may vary based on specific market conditions, regulatory environments, and the idiosyncrasies of individual economies. Acknowledging these assumptions and limitations is integral to maintaining a nuanced and critical perspective throughout the research, ensuring that the study's outcomes are presented with a balanced consideration of potential constraints.

Findings

Concepts of Dynamic Analysis

Within the theoretical framework guiding dynamic analysis in capital markets, the concept is a comprehensive approach that embraces the ever-changing nature of financial markets over time. Unlike static analysis, which assumes constant conditions, dynamic analysis adapts to evolving economic landscapes, considering technological advancements, policy changes, and macroeconomic trends. This approach extends beyond mere trend identification, delving into the complex interplay of variables and offering a nuanced perspective for decision-makers. The theoretical underpinnings of dynamic analysis draw heavily from the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) and the Adaptive Market Hypothesis (AMH). EMH contends that asset prices reflect all available information, while AMH recognizes the adaptability of market participants, suggesting time-dependent market efficiency (Mızrak, 2024).

In parallel, vital economic theories significantly shape global economic shifts and influence capital markets. Notably, Keynesian economics emphasizes government intervention to manage economic fluctuations, while Monetarism, associated with Milton Friedman, underscores controlling the money supply for economic stabilization. New Classical and Keynesian economics contribute insights into how individuals and institutions form expectations and make decisions in economic contexts. Collectively, these theories inform our understanding of the economic forces driving global shifts and their impact on the capital market (Mazzucato & Wray, 2015). Keynesian policies, for instance, may advocate fiscal stimulus during economic downturns, influencing government spending and taxation, while Monetarist policies may focus on controlling inflation through monetary policy. Recognizing the interplay between these economic theories and capital markets is pivotal for dynamic analysis, as shifts in economic paradigms can directly affect investment strategies, risk management, and overall market stability.

The theoretical framework provides a foundational understanding of dynamic analysis, defines its scope, and explores its underpinnings. Simultaneously, an overview of fundamental economic theories highlights their influence on global economic shifts and their impact on capital markets. This theoretical foundation establishes the groundwork for subsequent discussions on dynamic analysis methodologies within the context of capital markets in the literature review.

Historical Overview of Global Economic Shifts

In the 20th century, I witnessed profound economic transformations that significantly shaped global dynamics and influenced capital markets. One pivotal period was the aftermath of World War II, marked by extensive post-war reconstruction efforts. The war had left economies in ruins, necessitating widespread rebuilding and recovery. The Marshall Plan, initiated by the United States, played a central role in

providing financial assistance to war-torn European nations. This infusion of capital spurred economic growth, contributing to the emergence of a post-war economic order (Baten, 2016).

Simultaneously, the latter half of the 20th century saw the rise of the globalization era. Technological advancements in transportation and communication facilitated the unprecedented movement of goods, services, and capital across borders. The dismantling of trade barriers and establishment of international organizations, such as the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) and later the World Trade Organization (WTO), fostered global economic integration. Reflecting these changes, capital markets became more interconnected, with cross-border investments becoming commonplace (Levinson, 2020).

Recent Economic Trends and Challenges

In the 21st century, recent economic trends and challenges have continued to shape global economic shifts, influencing the dynamics of capital markets. Notably, technological disruptions have emerged as a driving force, fundamentally altering the nature of industries and economies. The advent of the digital age, characterized by innovations in information technology, has revolutionized business models and consumer behavior. This has had profound implications for capital markets, introducing new asset classes and altering investment strategies (Profiroiu et al., 2020).

Trade wars and geopolitical tensions have also marked the global economic landscape. The rise of protectionist trade policies, exemplified by trade disputes between major economies, has introduced uncertainties into global markets. Geopolitical tensions, ranging from territorial disputes to diplomatic conflicts, create an environment of heightened risk, impacting investor sentiment and market volatility. Understanding these recent economic trends is crucial for dynamic analysis in capital markets, as they contribute to the ever-changing nature of the global economic system (MacIsaac & Duclos, 2020). These economic shifts have not occurred in isolation but in an interconnected global context. The repercussions of an economic event in one region can reverberate worldwide, affecting trade flows, investment patterns, and market sentiments. Consequently, a historical overview of these shifts provides a backdrop for comprehending the intricacies of capital markets, highlighting the importance of dynamic analysis in adapting to evolving economic landscapes.

In conclusion, the major economic shifts in the 20th century, characterized by post-war reconstruction and the onset of globalization, set the stage for the interconnected global economy. Building upon this historical context, recent economic trends, driven by technological disruptions and geopolitical tensions, continue to mold the economic landscape of the 21st century. These trends pose challenges and opportunities for capital markets, emphasizing the necessity of dynamic analysis to navigate the complexities of an ever-changing global economic environment. By

examining these historical and recent shifts, this literature review aims to contribute to a holistic framework for dynamic analysis that addresses the challenges and opportunities faced by capital markets (Kortunov, 2020).

Impact on Capital Markets

Economic shifts, propelled by geopolitical events, technological disruptions, or other catalysts, wield a profound influence on the dynamics of capital markets. This understanding is paramount for investors, policymakers, and financial analysts navigating through periods of change. One critical aspect is the heightened market volatility and risk associated with economic shifts. Uncertainties surrounding economic policies, trade relations, or sudden technological advancements can trigger fluctuations in asset prices. While increased volatility poses challenges for risk management, it also presents opportunities for strategic investment decisions. Here, dynamic analysis emerges as a crucial tool, enabling accurate assessments and adaptations to changing market conditions (West, 2016).

Furthermore, economic shifts usher in a spectrum of opportunities and challenges for investors. Industries aligning with emerging trends may experience rapid growth, providing opportunities for those strategically positioned. Conversely, traditional sectors may face challenges, necessitating carefully considering investment strategies. Identifying and evaluating these opportunities and challenges becomes integral to dynamic analysis in capital markets. The ability to discern where value is created or eroded proves crucial for optimizing investment portfolios and mitigating risks (Ng, T. H., & Tao, J. Y. (2016).

Turning to investor behavior and decision-making, the impact of economic shifts extends beyond market dynamics. Behavioral finance perspectives offer insights into the psychological factors shaping investor choices during economic change. Behavioral finance recognizes that investor decisions are often influenced by emotions, cognitive biases, and social influences rather than solely rational analysis. Economic shifts, especially those accompanied by increased uncertainty, can amplify these behavioral tendencies. Understanding these patterns becomes crucial for predicting market movements and designing investment strategies that account for the emotional aspects of decision-making (Prince, 2017).

The role of information and perception is equally pivotal. The availability and interpretation of information play a central role in shaping investor perception and behavior. In the digital age, rapid dissemination of information accelerates market reactions to economic shifts. Investors' perceptions, influenced by news, social media, and expert analyses, contribute to market movements. Dynamic analysis must incorporate understanding how information is disseminated, received, and processed by market participants. Assessing the accuracy and reliability of information becomes

paramount, as misinformation can lead to irrational market responses (Arbuckle et al., 2015).

In summary, economic shifts exert a multifaceted impact on capital markets, influencing market dynamics, investor behavior, and decision-making processes. The interplay between volatility, risk, opportunities, and challenges shapes the landscape for investors. Behavioral finance perspectives provide valuable insights into the psychological factors during economic change while recognizing the role of information and perception enhances the understanding of market behavior. This exploration of the impact on capital markets is a foundational understanding for developing effective strategies to navigate the complexities arising from economic shifts.

Investment Strategies in a Dynamic Environment

The evolution of portfolio management has been a dynamic journey shaped by changing economic landscapes and advancements in theoretical frameworks. Harry Markowitz's Traditional Portfolio Theory, originating in the 1950s, laid the foundation by emphasizing diversification as a key risk management strategy. Markowitz introduced the efficient frontier concept, seeking an optimal balance between risk and return. However, the challenges of static diversification strategies became apparent in dynamic economic environments, where stable correlations between assets could not be assumed during periods of economic shifts (Bama, 2020).

Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), pioneered by theorists like William Sharpe, represents a crucial development building upon traditional principles. MPT incorporates individual asset risk and return and their correlations, allowing for more dynamic portfolio construction. This evolution underscores the recognition of the need for adaptability in response to the fluid nature of economic landscapes. The transition from traditional to modern portfolio theories acknowledges that investment strategies must be agile and adaptive in dynamic economic conditions (Bama, 2020). Adapting to dynamic economic conditions requires more than historical return and volatility considerations. Modern approaches integrate insights from behavioral finance and employ dynamic asset allocation strategies. Investors increasingly recognize the importance of actively managing portfolios to navigate changing economic landscapes effectively. Dynamic asset allocation, factor investing, and risk parity strategies are examples of how modern portfolio theories adapt to dynamic conditions, facilitating sophisticated risk management and improved performance.

Moving to risk management strategies, effective risk management in dynamic economic conditions necessitates using hedging techniques. Hedging strategies, including options and futures contracts, shield portfolios against adverse market movements. Dynamic hedging involves adjusting positions in response to changing market conditions, providing flexibility to navigate rapidly evolving risks during economic shifts. (Chance & Brooks, 2021). Diversification strategies remain a

cornerstone of risk management, even in dynamic environments. Modern diversification strategies go beyond traditional asset classes, encompassing alternative investments such as tangible assets, private equity, and hedge funds. The goal is to create portfolios that are less susceptible to specific economic events' impact. Diversification across geographical regions and industries is emphasized to mitigate risks associated with localized economic shifts. This adaptive approach underscores the importance of diversification in a dynamic investment landscape.

In essence, investment strategies in a dynamic environment demand a departure from rigid, static approaches. The evolution from traditional to modern portfolio theories signifies a shift towards adaptive and sophisticated strategies. Actively managing portfolios, incorporating behavioral finance insights, and employing dynamic asset allocation techniques are crucial for success in dynamic economic conditions. Risk management strategies, including dynamic hedging and diversified approaches, further enhance the resilience of investment portfolios against uncertainties arising from economic shifts. This section underscores the necessity of staying agile and embracing innovative strategies to navigate the complexities of investing in a dynamic economic landscape (McNeil et al., 2015).

Portfolio Performance Evaluation

Effectively assessing the performance of investment portfolios is paramount for informed decision-making and continuous improvement. Metrics and benchmarks serve as critical tools in this evaluation process. The Sharpe Ratio and Treynor Ratio, fundamental metrics in the field, measure risk-adjusted performance by assessing excess returns relative to risk. However, in dynamic economic environments, traditional metrics may need to catch up to capture the complexities of changing market conditions. Adapting these metrics becomes crucial; for instance, Dynamic Sharpe Ratios incorporate time-varying risk-free rates, providing a more accurate representation of risk-adjusted performance amidst economic shifts (Quynh, 2023).

Adjusting for dynamic economic factors is equally important. Shifts in interest rates, inflation, and macroeconomic indicators can significantly impact portfolio performance. Evaluating performance metrics in isolation may overlook the influence of these factors. Adjusting performance metrics for dynamic economic conditions involves considering the macroeconomic environment during the evaluation period, allowing for a more nuanced understanding of how portfolios perform in different economic scenarios. This consideration is particularly relevant when assessing the effectiveness of strategies during periods of economic shifts (Jackson, 2013).

Moving beyond quantitative assessments, case studies offer valuable insights into portfolio performance by providing real-world examples of successful strategies and failures. Successful portfolio strategies often showcase a combination of thorough research, effective risk management, and adaptability to economic shifts. These case

studies can inspire, offering insights into approaches that effectively weathered dynamic economic conditions. Conversely, case studies of failed portfolios provide equally essential lessons. Failures may result from inadequate risk management, overreliance on specific asset classes, or a failure to adapt to changing economic landscapes. These case studies offer cautionary tales and highlight potential pitfalls to avoid (Palepu et al., 2020).

In conclusion, portfolio performance evaluation is a multifaceted process that combines quantitative metrics, benchmarks, and qualitative insights from real-world case studies. Investors can refine their portfolio management practices by adjusting traditional metrics for dynamic economic factors and incorporating lessons from successful strategies and failures. This comprehensive approach emphasizes the importance of adaptability, risk management, and continuous learning in navigating the complexities of dynamic economic environments.

Discussion

The discussion of this research centers on synthesizing the insights gleaned from the literature review and research methodology, focusing on the implications for understanding dynamic analysis in capital markets amidst global economic shifts.

The literature review revealed the pivotal role of capital markets as components of global economies, serving as conduits for exchanging financial instruments and resources. The interconnectedness of these markets, influenced by global economic shifts stemming from technological advancements, geopolitical events, and demographic changes, underscores the need for a nuanced understanding of their dynamics. Theoretical frameworks, such as the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) and Adaptive Market Hypothesis (AMH), provide foundational perspectives for dynamic analysis, emphasizing the adaptability required to navigate the complexities of an ever-changing financial landscape (Sachs et al., 2019).

The research methodology employed a comprehensive approach, integrating insights from diverse literature to construct a coherent narrative on dynamic analysis in capital markets. The qualitative synthesis of information revealed that the evolution from traditional to modern portfolio theories reflects a paradigm shift towards more adaptive and sophisticated strategies. This adaptability is crucial in addressing the challenges posed by dynamic economic environments, where traditional static approaches may prove inadequate (Sovacool et al., 2018). In exploring the impact on capital markets, the discussion touched upon the heightened volatility, risks, opportunities, and challenges introduced by economic shifts. Behavioral finance perspectives elucidated the psychological factors influencing investor decisions during these shifts, emphasizing the importance of considering human behavior in dynamic analysis. Furthermore, investment strategies tailored to dynamic environments were discussed, highlighting the evolution from traditional to modern portfolio theories and

the integration of risk management strategies such as hedging and diversified approaches.

The discussion also delved into the portfolio performance evaluation, emphasizing the need for adjusted metrics that account for dynamic economic factors. Case studies provided concrete examples of successful and failed strategies, offering valuable lessons for refining portfolio management practices. Collectively, these insights contribute to developing a holistic framework for dynamic analysis, addressing contemporary challenges and opportunities posed by global economic shifts (Fagarasan et al., 2018). However, it is crucial to acknowledge the limitations and assumptions inherent in the study. The availability of sources may constrain the completeness of the literature review, and assumptions made in the literature could introduce biases. Additionally, the study assumes a general applicability of dynamic analysis methodologies, recognizing that their effectiveness may vary based on specific economic contexts.

In conclusion, this research advances our understanding of dynamic analysis in capital markets amidst global economic shifts. By integrating theoretical frameworks, exploring practical implications, and considering limitations, the study lays the groundwork for future research and practical applications in navigating the complexities of an ever-evolving financial landscape.

Conclusion

This study has delved into the intricate relationship between dynamic analysis and capital markets in the context of global economic shifts. Capital markets, pivotal components of global economies, serve as dynamic ecosystems where financial instruments and resources are exchanged. The literature review highlighted the significance of understanding these markets, emphasizing the impact of global economic shifts driven by technological advancements, geopolitical events, and demographic changes. Theoretical frameworks, such as the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) and Adaptive Market Hypothesis (AMH), laid the foundation for dynamic analysis by recognizing the evolving nature of financial markets.

The research methodology employed a comprehensive approach, synthesizing insights from diverse literature. It explored the impact of economic shifts on market dynamics, investor behavior, and decision-making processes. Investment strategies adapted to dynamic environments, incorporating traditional and modern portfolio theories, risk management strategies, and diversified approaches. Case studies provided real-world examples, offering lessons from both successful strategies and failures.

The implications of this study extend to the future research agenda in dynamic analysis and capital markets. Firstly, further exploration is warranted to integrate behavioral finance perspectives in dynamic analysis methodologies. Understanding

how investor behavior shapes market dynamics during economic shifts can enhance the effectiveness of investment strategies. Additionally, there is a need for continued research on refining and developing dynamic portfolio management strategies to navigate the ever-changing financial landscape. Exploring the effectiveness of these strategies across diverse economic contexts and market conditions will contribute to the ongoing evolution of dynamic analysis methodologies.

In conclusion, this study underscores the paramount importance of dynamic analysis in capital markets. The fluidity of global economic shifts demands an adaptable and sophisticated approach to understanding and navigating financial markets. Dynamic analysis, grounded in theoretical frameworks, supported by empirical evidence, and informed by behavioral finance perspectives, emerges as a crucial tool for investors, policymakers, and financial analysts. By continuously evolving strategies, integrating lessons from successes and failures, and recognizing the limitations inherent in a dynamic environment, stakeholders can effectively navigate the complexities of capital markets. Embracing dynamic analysis becomes a strategic choice and imperative for those seeking to thrive in an ever-changing global economic landscape.

Acknowledgement

We want to express our sincere gratitude to all those who contributed to completing this study—special thanks to my advisors for their guidance and insights throughout the research process. We are also thankful for the support of colleagues and peers who provided valuable feedback and encouragement. Lastly, We appreciate the unwavering support of our family and friends, whose encouragement has been instrumental in completing this project.

References

Al-Tabbaa, O., & Ankrah, S. (2016). Social capital to facilitate 'engineered–university-industry collaboration for technology transfer: A dynamic perspective. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *104*, 1-15.

Arbuckle Jr, J. G., Morton, L. W., & Hobbs, J. (2015). Understanding farmer perspectives on climate change adaptation and mitigation: The roles of trust in sources of climate information, climate change beliefs, and perceived risk. *Environment and Behavior*, *47*(2), 205-234.

Bama, P. D. D. (2020). Portfolio management on an emerging market: dynamic strategy or passive strategy? *Business and Management Studies*, *6*(2), 1526-1526.

Baten, J. (Ed.). (2016). *A history of the global economy*. Cambridge University Press.

Bradford, A. (2020). *The Brussels effect: How the European Union rules the world*. Oxford University Press, USA.

Chance, D. M., & Brooks, R. (2021). *An introduction to derivatives and risk management*. South-Western, Cengage Learning.

Connaway, L. S., Connaway, L. S., Powell, R. R., & Powell, R. R. (2017). *Basic research methods for librarians*. ABC-CLIO.

Fagarasan, C., Cristea, C., Cristea, M., Popa, O., & Pisla, A. (2023). Integrating Sustainability Metrics into Project and Portfolio Performance Assessment in Agile Software Development: A Data-Driven Scoring Model. *Sustainability*, *15*(17), 13139.

Gomber, P., Kauffman, R. J., Parker, C., & Weber, B. W. (2018). On the fintech revolution: Interpreting the forces of innovation, disruption, and transformation in financial services. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, *35*(1), 220-265.

Grilli, L., Latifi, G., & Mrkajic, B. (2019). Institutional determinants of venture capital activity: an empirically driven literature review and a research agenda. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, *33*(4), 1094-1122.

Hou, X., Zhao, Y., Liu, Y., Yang, Z., Wang, K., Li, L., ... & Wang, H. (2023). Large language models for software engineering: A systematic literature review. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.10620*.

Jackson, E. T. (2013). Interrogating the theory of change: evaluating impact investing where it matters most. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, *3*(2), 95-110.

Kidwell, D. S., Blackwell, D. W., & Whidbee, D. A. (2016). *Financial institutions, markets, and money*. John Wiley & Sons.

Kortunov, A. (2020). The world order crisis and the future of globalization. *RIAC Reports:[сайт].—2020.—1 окт.—URL: https://russiancouncil. ru/en/activity/publications/the-world-order-crisis-and-the-future-ofglobalization/(дата обращения: 12.10. 2020). на турецком языке*.

Levinson, M. (2020). *Outside the box: How globalization changed from moving stuff to spreading ideas*. Princeton University Press.

MacIsaac, S., & Duclos, B. C. (2020). Trade and conflict: trends in economic nationalism, unilateralism and protectionism. *Canadian Foreign Policy Journal*, *26*(1), 1-7.

Mazzucato, M., & Wray, L. R. (2015). Financing the capital development of the economy: a Keynes-Schumpeter-Minsky synthesis. *Levy Economics Institute of Bard College Working Paper*, (837).

McNeil, A. J., Frey, R., & Embrechts, P. (2015). *Quantitative risk management: concepts, techniques and tools-revised edition*. Princeton University Press.

Mizrak, F. (2023). Integrating cybersecurity risk management into strategic management: a comprehensive literature review. *Research Journal of Business and Management*, *10*(3), 98-108.

Mızrak, F. (2024). Effective Change Management Strategies: Exploring Dynamic Models for Organizational Transformation. In *Perspectives on Artificial Intelligence in Times of Turbulence: Theoretical Background to Applications* (pp. 135-162). IGI Global.

Ng, T. H., & Tao, J. Y. (2016). Bond financing for renewable energy in Asia. *Energy Policy*, *95*, 509-517.

Palepu, K. G., Healy, P. M., Wright, S., Bradbury, M., & Coulton, J. (2020). *Business analysis and valuation: Using financial statements*. Cengage AU.

Prince, T. E. (2017). Behavioral finance and the business cycle.

Profiroiu, M. C., Radulescu, C. V., Burlacu, S., & Guțu, C. (2020). Changes and trends in the development of the world economy. In *Competitivitatea şi inovarea în economia cunoaşterii* (pp. 324-330).

Quynh, D. T. (2023). The Impact of Dashboards on Risk Management and Decision-Making in Finance. *Journal of Empirical Social Science Studies*, *7*(4), 51-63.

Sachs, J. D., Woo, W. T., Yoshino, N., & Taghizadeh-Hesary, F. (2019). Importance of green finance for achieving sustainable development goals and energy security. *Handbook of green finance: Energy security and sustainable development*, *10*, 1-10.

Şenol, Z., & Zeren, F. (2020). Coronavirus (COVID-19) and stock markets: The effects of the pandemic on the global economy. *Avrasya Sosyal ve Ekonomi Araştırmaları Dergisi*, *7*(4), 1-16.

Sovacool, B. K., Axsen, J., & Sorrell, S. (2018). Promoting novelty, rigor, and style in energy social science: Towards codes of practice for appropriate methods and research design. *Energy research & social science*, *45*, 12-42.

Voegtlin, C., & Greenwood, M. (2016). A systematic review and conceptual analysis of corporate social responsibility and human resource management. *Human Resource Management Review*, *26*(3), 181-197.

West, D. M. (2016). *Megachange: economic disruption, political upheaval, and social strife in the 21st century*. Brookings Institution Press.